

Hot Chili LimitedACN 130 955 725First Floor, 768 Canning Highway, Applecross, Western Australia 6153PO Box 1725, Applecross, 6953, Western AustraliaP: +61 8 9315 9009F: +61 8 9315 5004

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT Thursday 12th December 2013

# Further growth in Habanero zone to boost impending resource upgrade at Productora

- Latest drilling returns more high-grade results from the Habanero discovery within the Productora copper project in Chile
- Habanero mineralization now outlined over 600m strike and remains open to the north; Habanero will form part of the impending major resource upgrade
- Productora resource upgrade and Frontera Project maiden resource expected in early 2014
- Discussions with Chilean resources major Compañía Minera del Pacífico S.A (CMP) re infrastructure agreement well advanced and on track

## New Drill Results at Habanero Productora copper project, Chile

43m grading 1.1% Copper and 0.3g/t Gold (and 131ppm Molybdenum)

from 196m down-hole

36m grading 1.0% Copper and 0.2g/t Gold (and 211ppm Molybdenum)

from 138m down-hole within a broader intersection of:

54m grading 0.8% Copper and 0.2g/t Gold (and 153ppm Molybdenum)

from 120m down-hole

20m grading 1.0% Copper and 0.3g/t Gold (and 121ppm Molybdenum)

from 182m down-hole within a broader intersection of:

39m grading 0.8% Copper and 0.2g/t Gold

(and 127ppm Molybdenum)

from 176m down-hole



HCH

## Contact

Mr Christian Easterday Managing Director

M: +61 409 64 1214 E: christian@hotchili.net.au

#### www.hotchili.net.au







Hot Chili (ASX: HCH) is pleased to report that another set of strong drilling results has highlighted the growing potential for the higher-grade Habanero zone to make a significant impact on the economics of its Productora copper project in Chile.

The latest results have extended the strike length of the known mineralisation at Habanero to greater than 600m, and continued to deliver strong grades over wide intersections. This included an intersection of 43m grading 1.1% copper and 0.3g/t gold from a down-hole depth of 196m.

Much of the mineralisation defined to date lies within the extents of the planned central pit at Productora and will form part of the impending major resource upgrade at Productora.

Importantly, a result of 36m grading 1% copper and 0.2g/t gold within a broader intersection of 54m grading 0.8% copper and 0.2g/t gold from a down-hole depth of 126m has been returned from the most northern drill hole at Habanero.

This particular result highlights the potential for further extensions to Habanero, outside of the planned central pit and into an area where there is currently no drilling coverage.

Hot Chili expects to complete all drilling for 2013 in the coming days. Results for approximately 60 additional drill holes are expected to be returned over coming weeks for incorporation into the forthcoming Productora resource upgrade, which is expected in early 2014.

#### Habanero Continues to Grow

Drilling at Productora has continued to extend the Habanero high-grade copper-gold discovery, located in the northern extent of the planned central pit development.

Recent drilling has returned another series of significant intersections from Habanero, which has now been drill tested over a strike length of approximately 600m.

Importantly, drilling at the most northern extent of Habanero has recorded a result of 36m grading 1% copper and 0.2g/t gold from within a broader intersection of 54m grading 0.8% copper and 0.2g/t gold from 126m down-hole depth. This intersection provides further growth potential for the high-grade copper-gold zone at Habanero, which now extends significantly to the north of the Company's preliminary pit designs.

Further results are expected to be reported from final drilling directed towards Habanero this year. These drill holes will be incorporated into the forthcoming resource estimate upgrade at Productora.

Hot Chili plans to continue pursuing extensions to Habanero in the New Year and regards the addition of this zone as a key value driver for Productora.





#### **Drilling and Resource Development Update**

In the coming days, drilling activities at Productora for 2013 are due to shut-down for the Christmas period. All drill samples are planned to be collected and submitted for analysis in the coming week with final results expected to be received over the coming month.

During the year, Hot Chili has competed over 100,000m of drilling at Productora. The results of this drilling strongly support the Company's goal of establishing the central pit development as the centre piece of the Productora development.

Work is well advanced towards completing a revised resource estimate at Productora that will incorporate all drilling done during 2013. Once all results from drilling are received, Hot Chili anticipates finalising the resource estimate, which will position the Company well for reporting a maiden reserve estimate.

In addition to the completion of a second resource estimate upgrade for Productora, Hot Chili has also been advancing towards a maiden resource estimate for its second copper-gold project, Frontera, 70km south of Productora. The first resource estimate at Frontera will provide early direction on the expected grade and potential of the larger copper-gold porphyry project identified within development distance of Productora.

Hot Chili expects to release the first resource estimate for Frontera early in the New Year.

#### Advancement of Infrastructure Discussions with CMP

Hot Chili and its project partner Compañía Minera del Pacífico S.A. ("CMP"), Chile's largest iron ore producer and integrated steel business, are in advanced discussions on establishing a joint infrastructure agreement for the development of the Productora copper project.

Such negotiation could result in substantial operational synergies given that Productora lies in the heart of CMP's existing coastal infrastructure, including rail, port, easement corridor, magnetite concentrator and iron pellet plant, and should facilitate project implementation.

Securing a joint infrastructure agreement with CMP is considered a key catalyst for the development of Productora and would bring benefits for both companies.

The company looks forward to releasing further information in relation to the Productora resource estimate upgrade, Frontera first resource estimate and a future joint infrastructure agreement at Productora.

For more information please contact:

Christian	Easterday
-----------	-----------

+61 8 9315 9009

Managing Director

Email: christian@hotchili.net.au

or visit Hot Chili's website at www.hotchili.net.



Figure 1. Productora project and Scoping Study development layout in relation to 2013 drilling programme focus





Figure 2. New significant drilling intersections in relation to the planned central pit design at Productora. The figure displays the three current focus areas for extensional drilling.





## Productora Project- New Significant Drilling Intersections

	Co	ordinates		Arim	Dim	Hole	Interse	ction	Interval	Copper	Gold	Molybdenum
Hole_ID	North	East	RL	Azım.	Ыр	Depth	From	То	(m)	(% Cu)	(g/t Au)	(ppm Mo)
PRP0748	6822476	323698	836	273	-55	435	83	93	10	0.4	0.0	27
							202	211	9	0.9	0.2	49
							215	226	11	0.3	0.1	12
PRP0749	6822135	323716	884	279	-70	348	165	172	7	0.5	0.1	345
							176	215	39	0.8	0.2	127
					inclu	uding	182	202	20	1.0	0.3	121
PRP0750	6822222	323694	885	342	-81	346	179	189	10	0.8	0.1	35
PRP0751	6822954	323743	753	245	-60	200	15	56	41	0.3	0.1	32
PRP0752	6822954	323776	757	242	-60	201	93	129	36	0.4	0.1	121
PRP0755	6822288	323662	874	273	-57	465	108	115	7	0.4	0.1	40
							126	149	23	0.3	0.0	88
							425	432	7	0.5	0.1	171
							450	454	4	0.5	0.2	218
PRP0757	6822336	323713	871	274	-59	438	271	279	8	0.3	0.1	129
PRP0758	6822957	323691	748	3	-60	300	112	124	12	0.5	0.1	45
							144	148	4	0.6	0.1	102
							247	251	4	0.4	0.0	44
PRP0760	6822374	323723	869	272	-60	480	92	122	30	0.4	0.1	260
0000764	6022740	222046		244	60	245	182	186	4	0.4	0.1	487
PRP0761	6822/10	323916	828	244	-60	315	101	108	/	0.8	0.2	1/0
000702	(022520	222404	702	222	60	100	219	227	8	0.3	0.1	30
PRP0763	6822529	323484	792	232	-60	186	6	48	42	0.5	0.0	16
0000766	6022122	222740	007	200		200	39	43	4	1.6	0.0	8
PRP0766	6822123	323719	887	290	-80	300	183	196	13	0.6	0.1	99
PRP0764	6822425	323755	868	273	-70	414	128	133	5	0.5	0.1	1101
							107	202	5	0.8	0.1	181
							265	262	1	1 3	0.1	101
PRP0765	6822706	323663	786	79	-60	330	8	42	34	0.4	0.5	42
11110705	0022700	525005	700	,,,	inclu	udina	27	29	2	1.5	0.3	37
							51	58	7	0.4	0.1	48
							72	78	6	0.4	0.1	153
							91	95	4	0.4	0.1	49
							104	123	19	0.4	0.1	49
							158	180	22	0.4	0.1	27
					incl	uding	166	171	5	0.6	0.1	32
							166	171	5	0.5	0.1	49
							199	209	10	0.5	0.1	279
					inclu	uding	205	209	4	0.8	0.2	618
							262	281	19	0.6	0.1	80
				open	to end o	of hole	281	330	49	0.3	0.1	81
PRP0767	6822532	323484	792	313	-55	150	11	55	44	0.4	0.0	8
PRP0768	6822532	323719	821	272	-60	291	135	141	6	0.4	0.1	289
							178	185	7	0.3	0.2	134

6





	Co	ordinates		Arim	Dim	Hole	Interse	ection	Interval	Copper	Gold	Molybdenum
Hole_ID	North	East	RL	Azim.	Ыр	Depth	From	То	(m)	(% Cu)	(g/t Au)	(ppm Mo)
PRP0769	6822135	323675	870	270	-59	282	223	232	9	0.4	0.1	227
PRP0770	6822532	323791	830	273	-60	256	83	87	4	0.9	0.1	344
PRP0771	6822096	323686	872	270	-60	276	130	159	29	0.8	0.2	48
					incl	uding	130	146	16	1.0	0.2	16
							182	198	16	0.5	0.1	77
							221	229	8	1.2	0.4	444
PRP0772	6822438	323676	842	271	-60	400	200	213	13	0.4	0.2	165
PRP0775	6822374	323678	848	278	-60	140	85	94	9	0.8	0.2	135
							243	268	25	0.2	0.1	74
PRP0777	6822289	323697	884	273	-60	450	144	152	8	0.8	0.3	126
							314	321	7	0.6	0.1	93
PRP0778	6822430	323719	857	271	-60	402	221	240	19	0.3	0.1	77
PRP0780	6822471	323742	846	272	-75	258	56	83	27	0.3	0.0	74
							98	109	11	0.6	0.1	541
PRP0781	6822372	323758	881	272	-65	450	96	106	10	0.8	0.2	86
							123	168	45	0.5	0.1	244
					incl	uding	141	148	7	0.9	0.2	238
PRP0782	6822436	323834	848	272	-64	350	120	174	54	0.8	0.2	153
					incl	uding	138	174	36	1.0	0.2	211
							205	211	6	0.7	0.2	228
PRP0817	6822374	323686	872	090	-60	260	60	67	7	0.7	0.1	27
							73	115	42	0.5	0.1	120
					incl	uding	106	115	9	1.0	0.2	137
							196	239	43	1.1	0.3	131

#### Notes to Significant Drilling Intersections

- All drill holes with pre-fix "PRP" are reverse circulation (RC) and all drill holes with suffix "D" are diamond holes.
- Results comprise ICP analysis (ME-ICP61) of all 1m whole core samples (D); 1m selective cone split samples (RC) and 4m composite samples (RC).
- Priority AAS analysis (CU-AA62 ore grade analysis) results were utilised where analysis was undertaken for copper results greater than 1.0%.
- Priority MS analysis (ME-MS61) results were utilised where analysis was undertaken for uranium results greater than 50ppm.
- Gold analysis only undertaken over copper results greater than 0.2%. All gold results comprise ICP analysis (Au-ICP21). Gold significant intersections may in some instances represent the average of gold results within the zone of intersection. In these instances generally gold analysis has been undertaken over 90 percent of the samples taken within the length of the intersection.
- All results were analysed by ALS Chemex (La Serena) laboratories.





#### JORC Compliant Productora Resource Statement- Reported 13<sup>th</sup> February 2013

Classification	Resource Series	Tonnage	Grade				Contained Metal			
	(+0.3% Cu)		Cu %	Au g/t	Mo g/t	Cu Eq* %	Copper (Tonnes)	Gold (Oz)	Molybdenum (Tonnes)	Copper Eq* (Tonnes)
	Res Upgrade 1	39,400,000	0.6	0.1	124	0.8	230,000	150,000	5,000	310,000
INDICATED	Central Resource	31,200,000	0.6	0.1	159	0.8	190,000	110,000	5,000	250,000
	Total	70,600,000	0.6	0.1	140	0.8	420,000	260,000	10,000	560,000
	Res Upgrade 1	40,600,000	0.5	0.1	110	0.7	200,000	130,000	4,000	270,000
INFERRED	Central Resource	54,000,000	0.6	0.1	138	0.7	300,000	180,000	8,000	400,000
	Total	94,600,000	0.5	0.1	126	0.7	500,000	310,000	12,000	670,000
	Res Upgrade 1	80,000,000	0.5	0.1	117	0.7	440,000	290,000	9,000	580,000
TOTAL	Central Resource	85,200,000	0.6	0.1	146	0.8	480,000	290,000	13,000	650,000
	Total	165,200,000	0.6	0.1	132	0.7	920,000	580,000	22,000	1,230,000

Note: Figures in the above table are rounded and are reported to one significant figure in accordance with Australian JORC code 2004 guidance on mineral resource reporting.

This information was prepared and first disclosed under the JORC Code 2004 edition. It has not been updated since to comply with the JORC Code 2012 on the basis that the information has not materially changed since it was last reported.

#### **Competent Person's Statement**

#### **Exploration Results**

Exploration information in this announcement is based upon work undertaken by Mr Christian Easterday, the Managing Director and a full-time employee of Hot Chili Limited whom is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Mr Easterday has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a 'Competent Person' as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (JORC Code). Mr Easterday consents to the inclusion in this presentation of the statements based on his information and context in which they appear.

#### **Mineral Resources**

The information in this report that relates to the Central Mineral Resource, Productora is based on information compiled by Alf Gillman, who is a fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Alf Gillman is a director of Odessa Resources Pty Ltd, and has sufficient experience in mineral resource estimation, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration. He is qualified as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Alf Gillman consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resource estimates outside of the Central Mineral Resource is based on information compiled by Aloysius Voortman and Fleur Muller. Aloysius Voortman is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, and Fleur Muller is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Aloysius Voortman is an employee of Coffey Mining, and Fleur Muller was an employee of Hot Chili Ltd at the time of the resource estimation. Both have sufficient experience in mineral resource estimation, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration. Mr Voortman and Mrs Muller are qualified as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Both Mr Voortman and Mrs Muller consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.





#### Appendix- JORC Code, 2012 Edition Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reverse circulation drilling (RC) was used to produce a 1m bulk sample and representative 1m split samples (12.5%, or nominally 3kg) were collected using a cone splitter. Diamond drilling was used to produce drill core with a diameter of 63.5mm (HQ). Diamond holes were logged and sampled in their entirety. Diamond core was whole sampled in one metre intervals, regardless of geological interpretation.</li> <li>RC sample representivity was ensured by a combination of Company Procedures regarding quality controls (QC) and quality assurance / testing (QA).</li> <li>Examples of QC include (but are not limited to), daily workplace and equipment inspections, as well as drilling and sampling procedures.</li> <li>Examples of QA include (but are not limited to), collection of drilling duplicates ("field duplicates"), the use of certified standards and certified blank samples, as well as umpire-laboratory checks.</li> <li>Industry standard practices for sampling techniques were employed at the Productora project. Geological logging was completed and mineralised intervals were determined by the geologists to be submitted as 1m split samples. In zones logged as unmineralised geologists directed field assistants to collect a 4m composite sample and this was submitted to the laboratory for analysis. If these 4m composite samples came back with Cu grade &gt; 0.2% the corresponding original 1m split samples were collected and submitted to the laboratory for analysis.</li> <li>The drill samples (RC and diamond) were submitted to ALS La Serena. Laboratory analysis involved: sample crushed to 70% &gt; 2mm, riffle/ rotary split off 1kg, pulverize split to &gt; 85% passing 75 microns, then 100g analysis by ME-ICP61 technique.</li> <li>Samples were submitted to ALS Global, La Serena which is ISO accredited.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether	<ul> <li>The Reverse Circulation drilling method was predominantly down-the-hole hammer drilling with 140 to 130mm diameter drill bits used.</li> <li>Diamond drilling used HQ drill bits (96mm external and 63.5mm internal diameter). Diamond drilling was double tube. Diamond</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	core was oriented by the Reflex ACT III core orientation tool.
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drilling techniques to ensure adequate RC sample recovery included the use of "booster" air pressure as well as limits on angle of drilling. Air pressure used for RC drilling was 700-800psi.</li> <li>Logging of all samples followed established company procedures which included recording of qualitative fields to allow discernment of sample reliability. This included (but was not limited to) recording:         <ul> <li>sample condition, sample recovery, sample collection method (ie. split or composite), and comments</li> <li>Overall logging of RC sample recovery recorded 96% of samples as "Good", 3% "Moderate" and 1% "Poor".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Recovery in diamond core recorded, 93% "Good", 2% "Moderate", 2% "Poor", and 4% "No Recovery/ No Record".</li> <li>RC sample intervals recorded ~80% 1m split samples, and ~20% 4m composite samples (generally composite samples are located in unmineralised zones)</li> <li>1m split sample weights submitted for analysis averaged 3.5kg. There does not appear to be any bias in sample weight with respect to sample depth, in fact sample weight slightly increases with depth from ~3.8kg at surface to 4kg at 500m depth downhole.</li> <li>The sample condition was reviewed with average weight for dry sample being 3.4 kg, moist samples 3.0kg, and wet samples 4.0kg, showing fairly consistent weights across all sample conditions.</li> <li>Sample weights and corresponding assay grades were reviewed and no discernible bias was detected.</li> </ul>
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	<ul> <li>Geological logging of samples followed established company and industry common procedures. Qualitative logging of samples included (but was not limited to); lithology, mineralogy, alteration, veining and weathering. Diamond core logging included additional fields such as structure and geotechnical parameters.</li> </ul>
	• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or	• Photography of diamond core was routinely completed and is stored on the company's data server.





Criteria	JOF	RC Code explanation	Con	nmentary
	•	costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	•	<ul> <li>Every metre (100%) of RC and DD drilling was geologically logged and sampled.</li> <li>Quantitative alteration geochemistry characterization was also completed using ME-ICP61 assay data. This characterization has identified seven main alteration types- albite, kaolinite, potassic (k-feldspar), magnetite-amphibole, sericite, sericite-albite and sodic-calcic.</li> <li>A clear correlation between silicate mineralogy (alteration) and sulphide mineralogy (copper mineralisation) is evident from the geochemical alteration classification work completed, and this has been used to guide exploration drilling and resource modelling.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	•	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	•	<ul> <li>Entire whole HQ diamond core was sampled to ensure maximum sample representivity.</li> <li>Splitting of RC samples occurred via a rotary cone splitter by the RC drill rig operators.</li> <li>Cone Splitting of RC drill samples occurred regardless of whether the sample was wet or dry.</li> <li>Company procedures were followed to ensure sub-sampling adequacy and consistency. These included (but were not limited to), daily work place inspections of sampling equipment and practices, as well as drilling/ sub-sample duplicates ("field duplicates").</li> <li>RC Sample condition was routinely recorded</li> <li>Field duplicates were taken at a rate of 1 in every 50<sup>th</sup> meter of drilling. Results of field duplicate assays show very good correlation to original assay results, giving high confidence in the sub-sample representivity at Productora.</li> <li>Sample sizes (width and length) were based on industry best practice.</li> <li>Comparison between diamond and RC samples shows a good correlation and supports the use of RC samples as representative of the in-situ material.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	•	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	•	All samples (RC chips and diamond core) were assayed by industry standard methods. All samples were submitted to ALS, La Serena for analysis. Sample preparation involved:
	•	For geophysical tools, spectrometers,	0	sample crushed to 70% > 2mm, riffle split off 1kg, pulverize split





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul> <li>handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>to &gt; 85% passing 75 microns</li> <li>Analytical Technique involved: <ul> <li>ALS Method ME-ICP61 (31 element analysis), with additional assaying triggered as follows; samples which returned copper &gt;2,000ppm were analysed for gold by ALS Method Au-ICP21 (30g Fire Assay).</li> <li>Samples with Cu &gt;10,000ppm were analysed by ALS "ore grade" method Cu-AA62 (represents ~ 2% of samples)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reported gold significant intersections may in some instances represent the average of gold results within the zone of intersection. In these instances generally gold analysis has been undertaken over &gt;90 percent of the samples taken within the length of the intersection.</li> <li>Routine "mineralized" Certified Reference Material (CRM) were inserted by Hot Chili Ltd at a rate of 1 in 50 samples. Routine Blank Certified Reference Material ("Blanks") were inserted by Hot Chili Ltd at a rate of 1 in 100 samples. Results from CRM (standards, blanks), and results from umpire laboratory testwork (ACME), gives confidence in the accuracy and precision of assay data returned from ALS.</li> <li>The analytical laboratory (ALS) also provided their own routine quality controls within their own practices. The results from their own validations were provided to Hot Chili Ltd.</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Umpire checks were performed by an alternative and independent laboratory (ACME). 5% of coarse rejects were submitted for Umpire checks and validation against the primary laboratory. Umpire laboratory results correlate very well with primary laboratory (ALS) results, and no discernible bias was detected.</li> <li>Twinned holes were used for validation of RC sampling methods. A population to population cross check (via 20-40m interval length weighted averages) was completed; this showed that generally the populations correlate well, with no discernible bias returned from sampling of either the RC or diamond holes.</li> <li>Hot Chili has strict procedures for data capture, flow and data storage, a full description of these procedures is included in the resource report.</li> <li>Limited adjustments were made to returned assay data; values returned lower than detection level were set to the methodology's detection level, and this was flagged by code in</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul> <li>Various analytical techniques have been used for analysis of ore grade elements (including Au and Cu), therefore a ranking has been applied to these elements ensuring the highest priority assay value is used for resource estimation. All assay values (from all analytical techniques) are stored in the database for completeness.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Collar surveys were completed by topographical surveying company (Geotopo's Exploraciones).</li> <li>Down-hole directional surveys using a gyroscopic instrument were completed by reputable down-hole surveying company's Wellfield (pre June 2013) and North Tracer (post June 2013). Down-hole surveys were completed using a north-seeking gyroscope, eliminating the risk of magnetic interference.</li> <li>The WGS 84 UTM Zone 19S coordinate system was used for all Hot Chili undertakings.</li> <li>Magnetic north has been used for directional surveys.</li> <li>Accuracy and adequacy of topographic control was validated visually in 3D software by comparison of drill collar locations and high resolution satellite (1m contours) derived DEM.</li> <li>Drillhole spacing at Productora within the preliminary central pit design is on a nominal 80m by 40m grid, with some infill control sections drilled on 40 x 40m spacing.</li> <li>This drillhole spacing has provided a high level of support for robust geological and mineralisation modeling. Geological and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>grade continuity is sufficient for mineral resource estimation, with both indicated and inferred resources being classified at Productora.</li> <li>In unmineralised areas four metre composite samples were taken. These 4m composite samples represent ~25% of the assay sample data, while the 1m split samples comprise ~75% of the samples. The majority of the 4m composite samples lie outside the mineralised geological wireframes.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The majority of drilling was oriented perpendicular to the overall NE structural trend of the Productora project area, with drillholes angled at 60 degrees towards 090 degrees to optimize drill intersections of the west dipping orebody. Where the mineralisation has been interpreted to dip moderately to the east, drilling has been oriented at 60 degrees towards 270</li> </ul>





Criteria	JOI	RC Code explanation	Commentary			
		orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	•	<ul><li>degrees. In some areas of the project, where drill rig access was limited by lack of drill platforms some variable drilling orientations were used for targeting the mineralisation.</li><li>Drilling orientation and subsequent sampling is unbiased in its representation of reported material.</li></ul>		
Sample security	٠	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	•	Hot Chili has strict chain of custody procedures that are adhered to for drill samples. All samples for each batch have the sample submission number/ticket inserted into each bulk polyweave sample bag with the id number clearly visible. The sample bag is stapled together such that no sample material can spill out and no one can tamper with the sample once it leaves Hot Chili's custody.		
Audits or reviews	r •	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	•	Coffey Mining Limited has completed an audit on the sampling techniques and data used for the Productora resource estimate. This audit has involved a site visit, review of drilling and sampling techniques, and independent grab sampling and analysis by an umpire laboratory.		

### Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hot Chili (through its subsidiary company SMEAL) controls an area measuring approximately 12.5km N-S by 5km E-W at the project through various agreements with private land holders; CMP (Chile's largest iron ore producer) and government organisations.</li> <li>Three types of lease agreements have been executed at the project:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>joint venture earn-in agreements with CMP (HCH to earn 65% over five years)</li> <li>100% purchase-option agreements (Central Lease Productora 1/16 Purchase Option agreement was executed in February 2013)</li> <li>30 year lease agreement for Uranio 1/70 (CCHEN-Comisión Chilena de Energía Nuclear)</li> <li>Hot Chili (through its subsidiary company SMEAL) has also secured large tenement holdings in its own right across available extensions at the project.</li> <li>The URANIO 1/70 lease is subject to a royalty payment, and the royalty agreement is with CCHEN. Details are as follows:</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ol> <li>After the first 5 years of the lease agreement or upon beginning of the exploitation phase if this situation happens before, the following minimum Net Smelter Royalty (NSR) shall be charged:         <ul> <li>a. 2% over all metals different from gold (ie. copper).</li> <li>b. 4% over gold.</li> <li>c. 5% over non-metallic.</li> </ul> </li> <li>All of the above are calculated over effective mineral sold.</li> <li>The majority of Hot Chili's landholding at Productora is held in Exploitation Concessions (Mining Lease would be the Australian equivalent term), with Mining Claims and Mining Petitions being the other main landholding types at the project (outside the main mineralised corridor and the preliminary central pit design).</li> </ol>
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<ul> <li>Exploration at the Productora Project has been completed by:         <ul> <li>CCHEN (Chilean Nuclear Commission) in the late 1980's:</li> <li>Mapping, geochemical sampling, ground spectrometry, magnetometry, trenching, drilling (28 shallow percussion holes). Focus was on near surface, secondary uranium potential).</li> </ul> </li> <li>GMC-Teck in the 1990's</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Compilation of mapping, surface geochemical sampling, ground geophysics (IP), percussion drilling.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Thesis (Colorado School of Mines), 1990's</li> <li>Thesis completed which involved field mapping, laboratory studies (petrology, whole rock geochemistry, geochronology, x-ray diffraction, sulphur isotope analysis).</li> </ul>
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul> <li>The majority of copper-gold-molybdenum mineralisation at Productora is hosted in a structurally focused breccia and fracture network developed within a larger body of K-feldspar- tourmaline-magnetite breccia. Structurally-focused mineralised breccia zones are evident trending broadly sub-parallel to the Productora fault zone (NNE).</li> </ul>
		• The association between mineralisation, breccia zones and manto horizons shows that an interplay between units with significant primary permeability (mantos) and fault-related secondary permeability (breccias) exert a critical control on the distribution of mineralisation.





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul> <li>Mineralised breccias are clearly visible in both RC drilling and in diamond core. The intensity of brecciation, alteration and sulphide mineralisation is generally greater within higher-grade domains.</li> <li>Sulfides comprise pyrite, chalcopyrite, bornite and molybdenite developed as breccia, vein and cavity fill, as well as disseminations within the brecciated host rocks. This sulphide distribution creates centimetre to metre-scale higher-grade patches enclosed by moderate-grade disseminated sulphide minerals.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A complete list of all holes reported as significant exploration results are provided in Productora Project- New Significant Drilling Intersections table</li> <li>This listing includes:         <ul> <li>collar coordinates WGS84_19S),</li> <li>elevation,</li> <li>hole orientation (dip and azimuth- magnetic),</li> <li>downhole intersection depth and length</li> <li>total hole depth</li> <li>length weighted average grade for Cu%, Au g/t, Mo ppm</li> </ul> </li> <li>No material drillhole information has been excluded</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In reported exploration results, length weighted averages are used for any non-uniform intersection sample lengths. Length weighted average is (sum product of interval x corresponding interval grade Cu%), divided by sum of interval lengths</li> <li>For example an aggregation of results could look like the below:</li> <li>From To Interval Grade Cu%</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	h 236 240 4 0.623 d h 240 241 1 0.25
		n 241 242 1 0.451
	• The assumptions used for any	y 242 243 1 0.861
	reporting of metal equivalent value should be clearly stated.	S Weighted average = ((4 x 0.623) + (1 x 0.25) + (1 x 0.451) + (1 x 0.861)) / (4+1+1+1) = 7m @ 0.58% Cu
		<ul> <li>Exploration results are nominally reported where copper results are greater than 0.3% Cu, significant intersections have a minimum down-hole width of 4m, internal dilution of up to 4 metres has been incorporated in some instances to allow continuity of significant intersections.</li> <li>No top-cutting of high grade assay results has been applied, nor</li> </ul>
		was it deemed necessary for the reporting of significant intersections.
		No metal equivalent values have been reported
Relationship between mineralisatio n widths and	These relationships are particularl important in the reporting of Exploration Results.	<ul> <li>Mineralisation at Productora can be located within steeply west dipping breccia hosted envelopes, or within moderately east dipping steeply plunging shoots, and to a lesser extent shallow dipping permeable volcaniclastic bedding horizons.</li> </ul>
intercept lengths	<ul> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle i known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the dow.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Due to the variable nature of mineralisation geometry, the drilling orientation is chosen according to the mineralisation geometry type being targeted.</li> </ul>
	hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (en 'down hole length, true width no known').	<ul> <li>Where practical the drilling orientation has been designed to intersect mineralisation perpendicular to the lode orientation, however this is not always possible.</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercept should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Refer to figures in announcement. A plan view of reported significant intersection drillhole collar locations is included.</li> <li>t</li> <li>d</li> <li>d</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	<ul> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of a Exploration Results is not practicable representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is not practical to report all exploration results.</li> <li>Low grade intersections grading 0.2-0.5% Cu have been</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	<ul> <li>reported as well as high grade intersections grading&gt; 0.5% Cu.</li> <li>Unmineralised intervals &lt;0.2% Cu have not been reported.</li> </ul>
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Other exploration data available:         <ul> <li>Surface mapping- geological observations (lithological and structural)</li> <li>Geophysical and radiometric surveys (airborne)</li> <li>Bulk density analysis is completed on every 5<sup>th</sup> metre of diamond core and pycnometer analysis is performed on every 25<sup>th</sup> RC metre</li> <li>Preliminary metallurgical test work has been completed at Productora as part of the scoping study. These results have indicated that conventional processing will be suitable, with metallurgical recoveries of &gt;90% for copper, ~80% for gold, ~75% for molybdenum (recoveries achieved from coarse 180µm grind size)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Resource definition drilling (copper-gold-molybdenum) and resource extensional drilling continue at Productora within the preliminary central pit design (which covers ~3km of the Productora mineralised corridor strike extent).</li> <li>Outside of the preliminary central pit design further exploratory testing for copper-gold-molybdenum and iron mineralization will be completed over the entire project holding. A systematic geochemical soil sampling programme has been designed as a first pass technique for discovering potential mineralisation, this will be followed up by prioritization and subsequent drill testing of favourable targets.</li> <li>Drill targeting of conceptual high grade shoots at depth, along strike and down plunge will also be a focus for future exploration.</li> </ul>